UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Jesse Forrister,		: : :
v.	Plaintiff,	: Civil Action No.:
Glenn Associates, Inc.,		· : :
	Defendant.	: :

COMPLAINT

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Jesse Forrister, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collections Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Jesse Forrister (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Fitchburg, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 4. Defendant Glenn Associates, Inc., (hereafter "Defendant"), is a Massachusetts corporation with a principal place of business at 155 West Street, Suite 10, Wilmington, Massachusetts, 01887, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 5. The names of the individual collectors are unknown but they will be added by amendment when determined through discovery.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 6. Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation that was primarily for family, personal or household purposes, and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 7. Thereafter, the debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.
- 8. The Defendant then began attempts to collect this debt from the Plaintiff, which was a "communication" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 9. The Defendant used rude and abusive language in conversations with the Plaintiff, saying "I wouldn't have to f***ing call you at f***ing work if you paid your f***ing bills on time."
 - 10. The Defendant did not provide the Plaintiff with a validation of the debt.
- 11. The Plaintiff has suffered actual damages as a result of these illegal collection communications in the form of humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration, embarrassment, amongst other negative emotions, as well as suffering from unjustified and abusive invasions of personal privacy at the Plaintiff's home and workplace.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 13. The Defendant used profane and abusive language when speaking with the consumer, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(2).
- 14. The Defendant threatened to take legal action, without actually intending to do so, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5).
- 15. The Defendant threatened to communicate false credit information, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(8).
- 16. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1).
- 17. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the debt was owed, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2).
- 18. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the debt within thirty days, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3).
- 19. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4).
- 20. The Defendant failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original creditor, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5).

- 21. The Defendant continued collection efforts even though the debt had not been validated, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).
- 22. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 23. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, M.G.L. c. 93A § 2, et seq.

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 25. The Defendant employed unfair or deceptive acts to collect the debt, in violation of M.G.L. c. 93A § 2.
- 26. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under M.G.L. c. 93A § 11 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT III

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 28. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) defines intrusion upon seclusion as, "[o]ne who intentionally intrudes...upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."
- 29. Massachusetts further recognizes the Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy, thus Defendant violated Massachusetts state law.
- 30. Defendant intentionally intruded upon Plaintiff's right to privacy by continually abusing the Plaintiff with condescending and obscene language and threatening legal action.
- 31. The conduct by Defendant to Plaintiff was so outrageous and offensive as to be considered, "hounding the plaintiff," and, "a substantial burden to his existence," thus satisfying the *Restatement of Torts, Second*, § 652(b) requirement for an invasion of privacy.
- 32. The conduct of the Defendant in engaging in the illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy in such a way as would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 33. As a result of the intrusions and invasions, Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from Defendant.
- 34. All acts of Defendant and its agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, Defendant is subject to punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendant;

- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
 §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendant;
- Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against Defendant;
- 4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L.c. 93A § 3(A);
- 5. Actual damages from Defendant for all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for Plaintiff;
- 6. Punitive damages; and
- 7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: August 3, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

ĎУ,

Serger Lembers

LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.

1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor

Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (877) 795-3666 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

B.B.O. No. 650671